arXiv:1409.0893v1 [cs.DM] 2 Sep 2014

Polynomial-time algorithms for minimum weighted colorings of $(P_5, \overline{P}_5)$-free graphs and related graph classes

Chính T. Hoàng[1] D. Adam Lazzarato[2]

September 4, 2014

[1] Department of Physics and Computer Science, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.
choang@wlu.ca

[2] Department of Physics and Computer Science, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.
adam.lazzarato@gmail.com

Abstract

We design an $O(n^3)$ algorithm to find a minimum weighted coloring of a $(P_5, \overline{P}_5)$-free graph. Furthermore, the same technique can be used to solve the same problem for several classes of graphs, defined by forbidden induced subgraphs, such as (diamond, co-diamond)-free graphs.
Keywords: Graph coloring, P_5-free graphs

1 Introduction

Graph coloring is a classical problem in computer science and discrete mathematics. The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a graph G is the smallest number of colors needed to color the vertices of G in such a way that no two adjacent vertices receive the same color. Determining the chromatic number of a graph is a NP-hard problem. But for many classes of graphs, such as perfect graphs, the problem can be solved in polynomial time.

Recently, much research have been done on coloring P_5-free graphs. Finding the chromatic number of a P_5-free graphs is NP-hard [17], but for every fixed k, the problem of coloring a graph with k colors admits a polynomial-time algorithm [14, 15]. Research has also been done on $(P_5, \overline{P}_5)$-free graphs (graphs without P_5 and its complement $\overline{P}_5$). In [10], a polynomial-time algorithm is found for finding an approximate weighted coloring of a $(P_5, \overline{P}_5)$-free graph. Weighted colorings generalize vertex colorings. Given a graph G with a nonnegative integral weight $w_G(v)$ on each vertex v of G, the minimum weighted coloring problem (**MWC**) is to find stable sets $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_t$ of G and nonnegative integers $I(S_1), I(S_2), \ldots, I(S_t)$ such that for each vertex v, $\sum_{v \in S_i} I(S_i) \geq w_G(v)$ and that $\chi_w(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} I(S_i)$ is as small as possible; $\chi_w(G)$ is called the *weighted chromatic number* of G; the stable sets S_i together with the weights $I(S_i)$ are called a weighted coloring of G.

The motivation of our paper is to find a polynomial-time algorithm for MWC for $(P_5, \overline{P}_5)$-free graphs. In the process of doing this, we actually solve a more general problem. We prove that for a hereditary class $\mathcal{C}$ of graphs, if the minimum weighted coloring problem

can be solved for every prime graph of $\mathcal{C}$ in polynomial time, then so can the problem for every graph in $\mathcal{C}$ (definitions not given here will be given later). As a corollary, we obtain a polynomial-time algorithm to find a minimum weighted coloring of a $(P_5, \overline{P}_5)$-free graph. This algorithm runs in $O(n^3)$ time. Furthermore, the same technique can be used to solve the same problem for several classes of graphs, defined by forbidden induced subgraphs, such as (diamond, co-diamond)-free graphs. We will remark on this point in section 4. In section 2, we give definitions and discuss the background to our problem. In section 3, we establish the above theorem and give our algorithm for MWC for $(P_5,\overline{P}_5)$-free graphs.

2 Definitions and background

Let G be a graph. A set H of vertices of G is a *module* if every vertex in $G - H$ is adjacent to either all vertices of H, or no vertices of H; if $|H| = 1$ or $|H| = |V(G)|$ then H is a *trivial* module. A graph is *prime* if it does not contain a non-trivial module. For the rest of the paper, modules are non-trivial unless otherwise noted. A module H is *strong* if for any module A, either $H \cap A = \emptyset$, or H is contained in A or vice versa. It is well known (for example, see [18]) that the vertex set of a graph can be partitioned into unique maximal strong modules in linear time.

Let G be a graph with a maximal strong module H. The graph G can be decomposed into two graphs: one is H and the other is the graph $g(G, H, h)$ obtained from G by substituting the vertex h for H, ie. removing H from G, adding h and the edge hv for every vertex $v \in G - H$ with $vu \in E(G)$ for some $u \in H$ (v has some neighbor in H). If H or $g(G, H, h)$ is not prime, then we can recursively decompose the graph in the same way. We can associate this recursive decomposition of G with a binary tree $T(G)$, where each node X of $T(G)$ represents an induced subgraph $r(X)$ of G, as follows. The root T of $T(G)$ represents G (ie., $r(T) = G$), T has two children L, R where node L (left child) represents a maximal strong module H and node R (right child) represents the graph $g(G, H, h)$. If their representative graphs are not prime, then L and R in turn have children defined by some maximal strong modules. Thus, the leaves of $T(G)$ represent prime induced subgraphs of G. Figure 1 shows a graph G, Figure 2 shows $T(G)$ together with the representative graphs of the nodes of $T(G)$. A well known and easy proof by induction shows that the number of internal nodes of $T(G)$ is at most $2|V(G)|$ and the total number of edges in all prime graphs (produced by the decomposition) is at most $|E(G)|$. There are well known linear time algorithms to construct $T(G)$ and the associative graphs of its internal nodes from G ([8, 18], see also the survey paper [12]).

Let P_k (resp., C_k) denote the chordless path (resp., cycle) on k vertices. If F is a set of graphs, then we say a graph G is F-free if G does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to any of the graphs in F. A *buoy* is the graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into non-empty sets S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5 such that there are all edges between S_i and S_{i+1} and no edges between S_i and S_{i+2} with the subscript taken modulo 5. A buoy is *complete* if every S_i is a complete graph.

Given an ordered graph $(G, <)$, the ordering $<$ is called *perfect* if for each induced ordered subgraph $(H, <)$ the greedy algorithm produces an optimal coloring of H. The graphs admitting a perfect order are called *perfectly orderable*. A stable set of a graph G is *strong* if it meets all maximal cliques of G. (Here, as usual, "Maximal" is meant with respect



Figure 1: The graph G



Figure 2: The decomposition tree $T(G)$

to set-inclusion, and not size. In particular, a maximal clique may not be a largest clique.) A graph is *strongly perfect* if each of its induced subgraphs contains a strong stable set. In [6], it is proved that perfectly orderable graphs contain strong stable sets and therefore are strongly perfect.

When G is an input graph to some algorithm, $n(G)$ (resp., $m(G)$) denotes the number of vertices (resp., edges) of G. When the context is obvious, we will write $n = n(G)$ and $m = m(G)$.

Theorem 1 [13] *If there is a polynomial time algorithm A to find a strong stable set of a strongly perfect graph then there is a polynomial time algorithm B to find a minimum weighted coloring and maximum weighted clique of a strongly perfect graph. If algorithm A runs in time $O(f(n))$ then algorithm B runs in time $O(nf(n))$.* □

In [7], it is proved that (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$,C_5)-free graphs are perfectly orderable and that a strong stable set of a (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$,C_5)-free graph can be found in $O(n+m)$ time. So the following result follows from Theorem 1.

Corollary 1 *MWC can be solved for (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$,C_5)-free graphs in $O(n(n+m))$ time.* □

In [9], the following result is obtained on the structure of (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$)-free graph with a C_5.

Theorem 2 [9] *Let G be a connected (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$)-free graph having at least five vertices. If G contains an induced C_5 then every C_5 is contained in a buoy and this buoy is either equal to G or is a non-trivial module of G.* □

Corollary 2 *A prime (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$)-free graph is either C_5-free or is the C_5.* □

In section 4 we will remark on several classes of graphs and so we need to introduce more definitions now.

- A graph G is *chordal* if it does not contain as induced subgraphs the chordless cycle C_k for $k \geq 4$.
- A graph G is a *thin spider* if its vertex set can be partitioned into a clique C and a stable set S with $|C| = |S|$ or $|C| = |S| + 1$ such that the edges between C and S are a matching and at most one vertex is not covered by the matching.
- A graph is a *thick spider* if it is the complement of a thin spider.
- A graph G is *matched co-bipartite* if its vertex set can be partitioned into two cliques C_1, C_2 with $|C_1| = |C_2|$ or $|C_1| = |C_2|$ such that the edges between C_1 and C_2 are a matching and at most one vertex is not covered by the matching.
- A graph G is *co-matched bipartite* if G is the complement of a matched co-bipartite graph.
- A bipartite graph $B = (X, Y, E)$ is a *bipartite chain graph* if there is an ordering $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ of all vertices in X such that $N(x_i) \subseteq N(x_j)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. (Note that then also the neighborhoods of the vertices from Y are linearly ordered by set inclusion.) If, moreover, $|X| = |Y| = k$ and $N(x_i) = \{y_1, \ldots, y_i\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, then B is prime.

- G is a *co-bipartite chain graph* if it is the complement of a bipartite chain graph.
- G is an *enhanced co-bipartite chain graph* if it can be partitioned into a co-bipartite chain graph with cliques C_1, C_2 and three additional vertices a, b, c (a and c are optional) such that $N(a) = C_1 \cup C_2$, $N(b) = C_1$ and $N(c) = C_2$, and there are no other edges in G.
- G is an *enhanced bipartite chain graph* if it is the complement of an enhanced co-bipartite chain graph.

3 MWC algorithm for (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$)-free graphs

Consider a weighted graph G where each vertex x has a weight $w_G(x)$. Let H be a non-trivial module of G. By $f(G, H, h)$, we denote the weighted graph obtained from G by substituting a vertex h for H where the weight function w for $f_w(G, H, h)$ is defined as follows. With $F = f_w(G, H, h)$, for the vertex h, we let $w_F(h) = \chi_w(H)$ and $w_F(x) = w_G(x)$ for all $x \in G - H$.

Theorem 3 *For a weighted graph G, we have $\chi_w(f(G, H, h)) = \chi_w(G)$. Furthermore, given weighted coloring of $f(G, H, h)$ and H with, respectively, a and b stable sets, a minimum weighted coloring of G can be constructed in $O(n(a + b))$ time.*

Proof of Theorem 3. Write $F = f(G, H, h)$. We will first prove $\chi_w(F) \leq \chi_w(G)$. Consider a minimum weighted coloring of G with stable sets $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_t$ with each S_i having weight $I(S_i)$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the stable sets S_i with $S_i \cap H \neq \emptyset$. Write $W = \sum_{S_i \in \mathcal{X}} I(S_i)$. Since the restriction of the stable sets of $\mathcal{X}$ to H is a weighted coloring of H, we have $W \geq \chi_w(H)$. Construct a weighted coloring $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ of F from the stable sets $S_1, S_2, \ldots$ as follows. For each S_i, if $S_i \cap H = \emptyset$ then $Y_i = S_i$; otherwise $Y_i = (S_i - H) \cup \{h\}$. Then let $I(Y_i) = I(S_i)$. To verify that the stable sets Y_i is a weighted coloring of F, we only need see that $w(h) = \chi_w(H) \leq W = \sum_{y \in Y_i} I(Y_i)$. Thus, we have $\chi_w(F) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{t} I(Y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} I(X_i) = \chi_w(G)$.

To complete the theorem, we will now prove $\chi_w(F) \geq \chi_w(G)$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ (resp., $\mathcal{Y}$) be the collection of stable sets $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_a$ (resp., $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots Y_b$) with weights $I(X_i)$ (resp., $I(Y_i)$) be a minimum weighted coloring of H (resp., $F = f(G, H, h)$). We can rearrange the stable sets Y_i's such that there is an integer c such that $h \in Y_i$ for $i \leq c$, and $h \notin Y_i$ for $i > c$. We will describe an algorithm that produces a (minimum) weighted coloring of G with a collection $\mathcal{Z}$ of stable sets Z_i and integers $I(Z_i)$ with $\sum_{Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}} I(Z_i) = \sum_{Y_i \in \mathcal{Y}} I(Y_i) = \chi_w(F)$ (the detail is spelled out in Algorithm 2 of the Appendix). The algorithm takes as input the list $\mathcal{L}_1$ of stable sets $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_a$ of H, and the list $\mathcal{L}_2$ of stable sets $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots Y_b$ of F, and produces the desired sets $\mathcal{Z}$. We scan sequentially the stable sets $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_a$ of $\mathcal{L}_1$ and in parallel the stable sets $Y_1, \ldots, Y_c$ of $\mathcal{L}_2$ and merge them into stable sets of $\mathcal{Z}$. Suppose X_i and Y_j are being scanned. We merge them into a stable set of $\mathcal{Z}$ by introduce a stable set $Z_k = X_i \cup Y_j - h$. If $I(X_i) \leq I(Y_j)$, then we give Z_k the weight of X_i, ie. $I(Z_k) = I(X_i)$, and reduce the weight of Y_j appropriately, ie. $I(Y_j) = I(Y_j) - I(X_i)$. Now, X_i can be eliminated from the first list (Y_j remains in the second list if its weight is not zero). Similarly, if $I(X_i) > I(Y_j)$, then we give Z_k the weight of Y_j, ie. $I(Z_k) = I(Y_j)$, and reduce the weight of X_i appropriately; now Y_j can be eliminated from the second list. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{c} I(Y_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{a} I(X_i)$, after Y_c is processed, all the stable sets in the first list will be

eliminated. Now, the stable sets $Y_{c+1}, \ldots, Y_b$ in the second list are made to be stable sets of $\mathcal{Z}$; and we have $\sum_{Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}} I(Z_i) = \sum_{Y_i \in \mathcal{Y}} I(Y_i) = \chi_w(F)$. It is easy to verify that the stable sets Z_i form a weighted coloring of G. The algorithm produces at most $a + b$ stable sets, and each stable set has size at most n. This establishes the claimed time bound. □

Theorem 4 *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a hereditary class of graphs. If there is an $O(f(n))$ MWC algorithm for every prime graph in $\mathcal{C}$, then there is an $O(n^2 f(n))$ MWC algorithm for every graph in $\mathcal{C}$.* □

Proof of Theorem 4. As remarked in section 2, the modular decomposition produces $O(n)$ prime graphs. The result then follows from Theorem 3. □

Now, we turn our attention to solving MWC for weighted (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$)-free graphs.

Theorem 5 *There is a $O(n^3)$ algorithm to solve MWC for $(P_5, \overline{P_5})$-free graph.*

Proof of Theorem 5. Let G be a $(P_5, \overline{P_5})$-free graph. Use the modular decomposition algorithms of [18] or [8] to construct the decomposition tree $T(G)$ with root S. If G is a prime (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$)-free graphs, then G is the C_5 or (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$,C_5)-free and we are done by Corollary 1. Otherwise, consider the left child L and the right child R of S in $T(G)$. Let H be the representative graph of L, that is, $r(L) = H$. We know H is a non-trivial module of G. We now recursively solve MWC on H and $f(G, H, h)$, the latter being the representative graph of R. Given minimum weighted colorings of H and $f(G, H, h)$, we apply the stable sets merging algorithm of Theorem 3 to construct a minimum weighted coloring of G. The detail is spelled out in Algorithms 1 and 2 in the Appendix. We start the algorithm by calling COLOR(S) on the root S of $T(G)$. We may assume the total time used by COLOR-PRIME() on all graphs produced by the algorithm is $O(n(n + m))$ since the total number of edges in all prime graphs is bounded by m. Assume without loss of generality COLOR-PRIME(G) returns a minimum weighted coloring of a prime (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$)-graph G. An easy proof by induction shows that the number of stable sets in the minimum weighted coloring produced by the call COLOR(S) is at most $2n - 1$. Each call to MERGE-COLOR can be implemented in $O(n^2)$ time. Since the number of internal nodes of $T(G)$ is $O(n)$ (see [8]), the number of calls to MERGE-COLOR is $O(n)$. It follows our algorithm runs in $O(n^3)$ time. □

4 MWC algorithms for some related graph classes

In the previous section, we provide a polynomial time algorithm to find a minimum weighted coloring of a (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$)-free graph. The insight of our result is that to solve MWC for a hereditary class of graphs, only prime graphs need to be considered. It turns out that this idea can be used to solve MWC for several graph classes that have been studied in the literature. These graph classes are defined by forbidden certain graphs defined in Figure 3 below. For these classes of graphs, it has been proved that the prime graphs in the classes have special structures (such as being perfect) and therefore it is easy to solve MWC for them. We will now elaborate on this point. Consider the following theorems.



Figure 3: Some graphs

Theorem 6 *[4] Let G be a prime graph.*

(i) If G is (diamond, co-diamond)-free then G or $\overline{G}$ is a matched co-bipartite graph or G has at most nine vertices.

(ii) If G is (paw, co-paw)-free then G is a P_4 or C_5.

Theorem 7 *[1] Prime (P_5,diamond)-free graphs are either matched co-bipartite or a thin spider or an enhanced bipartite chain graph or have at most 9 vertices.*

There are polynomial time MWC algorithms for all graphs described in Theorems 6 and 7 because bipartite graphs, co-bipartite graphs, matched co-bipartite graph, spiders, and enhanced bipartite chain graphs are perfect graphs; and there is a well known MWC algorithm for perfect graphs [11]. In some special cases, there are fast MWC algorithms. For example, spiders are chordal graphs and so the MWC problem can be solved in $O(n^2)$ time [13] on them.

Acknowledgement

This work was partially done as an undergraduate research project by author D.A.L. under the supervision of author C.T.H., supported by an NSERC Discovery grant.

References

[1] A. Brandstädt, (P_5,diamond)-free graphs revisited: structure and linear time optimization, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 138 (2004), 13–27.

[2] A. Brandstädt, C.T. Hoàng and V.B. Le, Stability number of bull- and chair-free graphs revisited, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 131 (2003), 39–50.

[3] A. Brandstädt, H.-O. Le and J.-M. Vanherpe, Structure and stability number of (Chair, Co-P, Gem)-free graphs, *Information Processing Letters* 86 (2003), 161–167.

[4] A. Brandstädt, S. Mahfud, Maximum Weight Stable Set on graphs without claw and co-claw (and similar graph classes) can be solved in linear time, *Information Processing Letters* 84 (2002), 251–259.

[5] A. Brandstädt, R. Mosca, On variations of P_4-sparse graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 129 (2003), 521 – 532.

[6] V. Chvátal, Perfectly orderable graphs, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics* 21 (1984), 63-68.

[7] V. Chvátal, C. T. Hoàng, N. V. R. Mahadev and D. De Werra, Four classes of perfectly orderable graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 11:4 (1987), 481–495.

[8] A. Cournier and H. Habib, A new linear algorithm for Modular Decomposition, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 787 (1994) 68–84.

[9] J.-L. Fouquet, V. Giakoumakis, F. Maire and H. Thuillier, On graphs without P_5 and $\overline{P_5}$, *Discrete Math.* 146:1-3 (1995) 33–44.

[10] V. Giakoumakis and I. Rusu, Weighted parameters in $(P_5, \overline{P_5})$-free graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math* 80 (1997), 255-261.

[11] M. Grötschel, L. Lovász, and A. Schrijver, The ellipsoid method and its consequences in combinatorial optimization, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981), 169–197. (Corrigendum in *Combinatorica* 4 (1984), 291–295.

[12] H. Habib and C. Paul, A survey of the algorithmic aspects of modular decomposition, *Computer Science Review* 4 (2010) 41–59.

[13] C.T. Hoàng, Efficient algorithms for minimum weighted colouring of some classes of perfect graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 55 (1994) 133-143.

[14] C. T. Hoàng, M. Kamiński, V. Lozin, J. Sawada and X. Shu, A note on k-colourability of P_5-free graphs, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 5162 (2008) 387–394.

[15] C.T. Hoàng, M. Kamiński, V.V. Lozin, J. Sawada and X. Shu, Deciding k-colorability of P5-free graphs in polynomial time, *Algorithmica* 57:1 (2010) 74–81.

[16] C.T. Hoàng, F. Maffray, S. Olariu and M. Preissmann, A charming class of perfectly orderable graphs, *Discrete Math.* 102 (1992) 67-74.

[17] J. Kratochvil, D. Kral, Zs. Tuza and G.J. Woeginger, Complexity of Coloring Graphs without Forbidden Induced Subgraphs, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 2204 (2001) 254–262.

[18] R.M. McConnell and J. Spinrad, Modular decomposition and transitive orientation, *Discrete Math.* 201 (1999) 189–241.

APPENDIX

Algorithm 1 COLOR(X)

input: Node X in $T(G)$ with representative graph $r(X)$, G being a weighted (P_5,$\overline{P}_5$)-free graph.
output: A minimum weighted coloring of $r(X)$.

if X is a leaf of $T(G)$ **then**
  return the output of COLOR-PRIME($r(X)$)
else
  Let L and R be the left and right children of X in $T(G)$ where $r(L)$ is a maximal module of $r(X)$
  Call COLOR(L) to get a minimum weighted coloring of $r(L)$
  Call COLOR(R) to get a minimum weighted coloring of $r(R)$
  Call MERGE-COLOR(X, L, R) and output a minimum weighted coloring of $r(X)$
end if

Algorithm 2 MERGE-COLOR(X, L, R)

input:
X, L, R are nodes of $T(G)$ with L (resp., R) being the left (resp., right) child of X.
A minimum weighted coloring of $H = r(L)$ with stable sets $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_a$ with weights $I(X_i)$
A minimum weighted coloring of $f(r(X), H, h) = r(R)$ with stable sets $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots Y_b$ with weights $I(Y_i)$
output: A minimum weighted coloring of $r(X)$ with stable sets $Z_1, \ldots, Z_d$ with weights $I(Z_i)$ with $d \leq a + b$.

1. Enumerate the stable sets of $f(r(X), H, h)$ as $Y_1, \ldots, Y_c, Y_{c+1}, \ldots Y_b$ such that $h \in Y_i$ if $i \leq c$, and $h \notin Y_i$ otherwise
2. $i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow 1, k \leftarrow 1$
3.
while $i \leq a$ **do**
  $Z_k \leftarrow X_i \cup Y_j - h$
  if $I(X_i) \leq I(Y_j)$ **then**
    $I(Z_k) \leftarrow I(X_i)$
    $i \leftarrow i + 1$
    $I(Y_j) \leftarrow I(Y_j) - I(X_i)$
    if $I(Y_j) = 0$ **then**
      $j \leftarrow j + 1$
    end if
  else
    $I(Z_k) \leftarrow I(Y_j)$
    $I(X_i) \leftarrow I(X_i) - I(Y_j)$
    $j \leftarrow j + 1$
  end if
  $k \leftarrow k + 1$
end while
4.
for $r = j \rightarrow b$ **do**
  $Z_k \leftarrow Y_r$
  $k \leftarrow k + 1$
end for
Output the stable sets $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots$.